

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,509	04/20/2001	Paul F. Struhsaker	WEST14-00018	2895
7:	590 07/13/2005		EXAM	INER
DOCKET CLERK			MOORE, IAN N	
P.O. DRAWER DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.122.13, 111 (0000			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2009	τ .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u> Çm	
AL.	
, , ,	
ess	
andonment of nce, which FR 41.31; or one of the	
r is later. In no	
WITHIN TWO	
nsion fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/839,509	STRUHSAKER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
lan N. Moore	2661

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 💢 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid about 1. this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 C (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever b) event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** \_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_ Chart Ti Wfugur 13. Other: \_\_\_\_. CHAU NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding arguments (pages 7-8) on claim rejection 10-13,17, that Dove FIG. 5, bus 550 is a serial link since it transmits cell serially, as stated in final office action page 2-3. Regarding argument (pages 9-11), claims 1-4, and 20, Manchester discloses both higher and lower tier buses at FIG. 3, as stated in final office action page 4-6, Tabu teaches the low tire switch with 2Gpbs and a higher tire bus switch with 20 Gbps. Thus, the combined system of Manchester and Tabu discloses the applicant claimed invention, and the motivation is as set forth in final office action page 5.

1NM 9NM